

# WEAK\* SUPPORT POINTS OF CONVEX SETS IN $E^*$

BY

R. R. PHELPS<sup>1)</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Density theorems are obtained for weak\* support points and support functionals of certain convex subsets of the dual of a Banach space.

Suppose that  $C$  is a closed convex nonempty subset of a real topological vector space  $E$ . A point  $x$  of  $C$  is said to be a *support point* of  $C$  if there exists a closed hyperplane  $H$  containing  $x$  such that  $C$  is on one side of  $H$ . Equivalently,  $x$  is a support point of  $C$  provided there exists a nontrivial continuous linear functional  $f$  on  $E$  such that  $f(x) = \sup \{f(y) : y \in C\} \equiv \sup f(C)$ . (Such a functional is called a *support functional* of  $C$ .) Unless there are further hypotheses on the set  $C$  or the space  $E$ , there might be no support points in  $C$ . For instance, Klee [3] has shown the existence of a nonempty closed convex unbounded subset  $C$  of a certain metrizable, separable complete locally convex space (the space of all real sequences, in the product topology) such that  $C$  contains no support points. On the other hand, if  $E$  is a Banach space, then it is known [1] that the support points of  $C$  are dense in the boundary of  $C$  and that the support functionals of  $C$  are norm dense among those which are bounded above on  $C$ . (Furthermore, the latter assertion is valid in a normed linear space  $E$  only if  $E$  is complete.) It remains an open question whether a *bounded* closed convex subset of a metrizable complete locally convex space necessarily has support points. In the present note, we will prove two results, analogous to the two main theorems of [1], for certain special locally convex spaces, namely, the space  $E^*$  in its weak\* topology, where  $E$  is a Banach space. (In its *norm topology*, of course,  $E^*$  is a Banach space and the results from [1] are applicable; they also apply in the weak\* topology if  $E$  is reflexive.)

Thus, the dual of  $E^*$  is  $E$  itself, so that our closed hyperplanes will be weak\* closed and they will be determined by functionals of the form  $f \rightarrow f(x)$ , for some  $x \neq 0$  in  $E$ . It follows, then, that a support point (which, for emphasis, we will call a *weak\** support point) of a weak\* closed convex subset  $C$  of  $E^*$  is an element  $f$  of  $C$  such that  $f(x) = \sup \{g(x) : g \in C\} \equiv \sup C(x)$  for some  $x \neq 0$  in  $E$ . Such an element  $x$  will be called a *weak\* support functional* of  $C$ . The first of our two theorems may then be stated as follows.

---

Received October 28, 1964.

<sup>1)</sup> Supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP 378.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $E$  is a Banach space and  $C$  is a weak\* closed convex subset of  $E^*$  then the weak\* support points of  $C$  are norm-dense in the norm-boundary of  $C$ .*

[It is easily seen that the choice of topologies (norm-dense in the norm-boundary) in the conclusion to this result is the best among the four possible combinations of norm and weak\* topologies.]

Our second theorem is not as simple, but it has some simply stated corollaries. Geometrically, it says that if  $C$  can be strictly separated from a bounded weak\* closed set  $A$  by a weak\* closed hyperplane  $H$ , then it can be strictly separated from  $A$  by one of its weak\* supporting hyperplanes  $H_1$ , with  $H_1$  nearly parallel to  $H$ . There is no loss in generality in assuming that  $A$  is convex.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $E$  is a Banach space, that  $C$  and  $A$  are weak\* closed convex subsets of  $E^*$ , that  $A$  is bounded and that for some  $x$  in  $E$   $\sup C(x) < \inf A(x)$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a weak\* support functional,  $x_0$  of  $C$  such that  $\|x - x_0\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\sup C(x_0) < \inf A(x_0)$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $E$  is a Banach space and  $C$  is a weak\* closed convex subset of  $E^*$ , then the weak\* support functionals of  $C$  are dense in  $E$  among those  $x$  for which  $\sup C(x) < \infty$ .*

A weak\* supporting half space for  $C$  is a set of the form  $\{g: g(x) \leq \sup C(x)\}$  where  $x$  is a weak\* support functional for  $C$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $E$  is a Banach space and  $C$  is a weak\* closed convex subset of  $E^*$ , then  $C$  is the intersection of its weak\* supporting half-spaces.*

The proofs of these corollaries are immediate from Theorem 2.

At the end of this note we will discuss examples which show that Theorem 1 may fail (even for bounded sets) if  $C$  is merely assumed to be norm closed, or if  $E$  is not assumed to be complete. Also, the fact that weak\* closed convex subsets of  $E^*$  have a certain local weak\* compactness property clearly does not imply that every weak\* continuous functional which is bounded above on  $C$  is a support functional; consider the convex set defined by a hyperbola in the plane.

The methods of proof are closely related to those in [1], with one exception. In [1], the final step in producing support points was an application of the separation theorem in  $E$ ; in the present note, it is applied in  $E \times R$  to convex sets associated with the graphs of appropriate convex functions. This idea was suggested by A. Brøndsted and R. T. Rockafellar [2], who used it in their work on support points of the graphs of convex functions.

The first step in our proof is a lemma which is a bit more general than the corresponding lemma in [1] and less general than the one in [4]. We include the proof for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $\phi$  is an upper semicontinuous function on the Banach space  $E$ , with  $-\infty \leq \phi < \infty$ . If  $k > 0$ , partially order the set  $X = \{x: \phi(x) > -\infty\}$  by

(1)  $x \succ y$  if and only if  $k\|x - y\| \leq \phi(x) - \phi(y)$ . If  $\phi$  is bounded above on  $X$  then for any  $z$  in  $X$  there exists a maximal element  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that  $x_0 \succ z$ .

**Proof.** Since the ordering defined in (1) is proper, we can apply Zorn's lemma to the set  $Z = \{x: x \in X, x \succ z\}$ . If  $W$  is any linearly ordered subset of  $Z$ , let  $a = \sup \{\phi(w): w \in W\}$ ; this is finite since  $\phi$  is bounded above on  $X$ . Since  $W$  is linearly ordered we can choose a sequence  $\{w_n\} \subset W$  with  $w_{n+1} \succ w_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that  $\phi(w_n) \rightarrow a$ . It follows that if  $m \geq n$ , then  $w_m \succ w_n$  and hence  $k\|w_m - w_n\| \leq \phi(w_m) - \phi(w_n)$ . Since  $\phi(w_n)$  converges, this shows that  $\{w_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$  and hence converges to an element  $x$  in  $E$ . Since  $\phi$  is upper semicontinuous,  $\phi(x) \geq \limsup \phi(w_n) = a$ , so  $x \in X$ . To see that  $x$  is an upper bound for  $W$ , first note that for any  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} k\|x - w_n\| &= \lim_{m \geq n} k\|w_m - w_n\| \leq \lim_{m \geq n} \sup \phi(w_m) - \phi(w_n) = a - \phi(w_n) \leq \\ &\leq \phi(x) - \phi(w_n), \end{aligned}$$

so  $x \succ w_n$ . Finally, for any  $w$  in  $W$ ,  $w \neq x$ , there exists  $n$  with  $w_n \succ w$ . (If not, then for all  $n$ ,  $k\|w - w_n\| \leq \phi(w) - \phi(w_n) \leq a - \phi(w_n) \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $w = \lim w_n = x$ .) Thus, Zorn's lemma is applicable and  $Z$  has a maximal element  $x_0$ . If  $x \in X$  and  $x \succ x_0$ , then  $x \succ x_0 \succ z$  so  $x \in Z$  and hence  $x = x_0$ , i.e.  $x_0$  is a maximal element of  $X$  such that  $x_0 \succ z$ .

The next lemma formalizes the use of the separation theorem in  $E \times R$  referred to above. Recall that a function  $f$  is convex [concave, affine] if for each  $x, y$  in  $E$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq [\geq, =] \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $\psi_1$  is a lower semicontinuous convex function on  $E$  with  $-\infty < \psi_1 \leq \infty$  ( $\psi_1 \not\equiv \infty$ ), and that  $\psi_2$  is a continuous concave function on  $E$  ( $\psi_2 \not\equiv -\infty$ ). If  $\psi_1 \geq \psi_2$ , then there exists a continuous affine functional  $h$  on  $E$  such that  $\psi_1 \geq h \geq \psi_2$ .

**Proof.** In the space  $E \times R$  (product topology) let  $C_1 = \{(x, r): r \geq \psi_1(x)\}$  and let  $C_2 = \{(x, r): r \leq \psi_2(x)\}$ . Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are closed convex sets and since  $\psi_2$  is continuous, the interior of  $C_2$  is the nonempty set  $\{(x, r): r < \psi_2(x)\}$ . This latter set misses  $C_1$ , so the separation theorem can be applied to yield a closed hyperplane  $H$  which separates  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . To see that  $H$  is the graph of a continuous affine function  $h$  (defined by  $h(x) = r$  if and only if  $(x, r) \in H$ ), we need only show that for at least one point  $x_0$ , the line  $\{x_0\} \times R$  is not contained in  $H$ . Any point  $x_0$  such that  $\psi_1(x_0) < \infty$  will suffice, since  $(x_0, \psi_1(x_0)) \in C_1$  and will be on one side of  $H$  while  $(x_0, \psi_2(x_0) - 1) \in \text{int } C_2$  and will be strictly on the other

side. Finally, for any  $x$ ,  $(x, h(x)) \in H$ , so if  $\psi_1(x) < h(x)$  then  $(x, \psi_1(x))$  will be strictly on one side of  $H$ , and in  $C_1$ . For  $r > h(x) > \psi_1(x)$ ,  $(x, r)$  is strictly on the other side of  $H$  and also in  $C_1$ , an impossibility which implies that  $h \leq \psi_1$ . Similarly,  $\psi_2 \leq h$  and the proof is complete.

The functions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  which we will use in the proofs are certain combinations of linear functionals, the norm, and one other classical convex function, the support functional  $s_C$  for a weak\* closed convex subset  $C$  of  $E^*$ . For  $x$  in  $E$  define  $s_C(x) = \sup \{f(x) : f \in C\} \equiv \sup C(x)$ . This function is clearly convex and positive-homogeneous (i.e.  $s_C(\lambda x) = \lambda s_C(x)$  if  $\lambda \geq 0$ ), and it follows from the separation theorem (applied in  $E^*$  in its weak\* topology) that  $f \in C$  if (and only if)  $f \leq s_C$ . It is not difficult to see that  $s_C$  is lower semicontinuous. Finally, if  $C$  is bounded, with  $\|f\| \leq M$ , say, for  $f \in C$ , then for any such  $f$  and any  $x, y$  in  $E$ , we have  $f(x) = f(x - y) + f(y) \leq \sup C(x - y) + s_C(y) \leq M\|x - y\| + s_C(y)$ . Thus,  $|s_C(x) - s_C(y)| \leq M\|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y$ , so that  $s_C$  is (uniformly) continuous on  $E$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $C$  is a weak\* closed convex subset of  $E^*$ , then the weak\* support points of  $C$  are norm-dense in the norm-boundary of  $C$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $f$  in the boundary of  $C$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $f_1$  in  $E^* \sim C$  such that  $\|f - f_1\| < \varepsilon/2$  and use the separation theorem to choose  $z$  in  $E$ ,  $\|z\| = 1$ , such that  $\sup C(z) < f_1(z)$ . Then  $f(z) \geq f_1(z) - \varepsilon/2 > \sup C(z) - \varepsilon/2 = s_C(z) - \varepsilon/2$  and  $f \leq s_C$ . Let  $\phi = f - s_C$ , so that  $\phi$  is upper semicontinuous and  $\phi \leq 0$ . By applying Lemma 1 (with  $k = \varepsilon$ ) we can obtain  $x_0$  in  $E$  such that  $x_0 \succ z$  and  $x_0$  is maximal in the (nonempty) set where  $\phi > -\infty$ . The first assertion implies that  $\varepsilon\|x_0 - z\| \leq \phi(x_0) - \phi(z) \leq -\phi(z) = s_C(z) - f(z) \leq \varepsilon/2$ , so  $\|x_0 - z\| \leq 1/2$ , and hence (recall that  $\|z\| = 1$ )  $x_0 \neq 0$ . The maximality of  $x_0$  implies that for any  $y \neq x_0$  such that  $\phi(y) > -\infty$  (equivalently,  $s_C(y) < \infty$ ) we have  $\varepsilon\|y - x_0\| > \phi(y) - \phi(x_0) = f(y - x_0) - [s_C(y) - s_C(x_0)]$ . Let  $\psi_1 = s_C - s_C(x_0)$ ,  $\psi_2(y) = f(y - x_0) - \varepsilon\|y - x_0\|$ . By Lemma 2 there exist a continuous affine functional  $h$  on  $E$  such that  $\psi_1 \geq h \geq \psi_2$ . Since

$$0 = \psi_1(x_0) \geq h(x_0) \geq \psi_2(x_0) = 0,$$

there exists a continuous linear functional  $g$  on  $E$  such that  $h = g - g(x_0)$ . Thus  $s_C(y) - g(y) \geq s_C(x_0) - g(x_0)$  for any  $y$ ; since  $s_C$  and  $g$  are positive-homogeneous, this implies that  $s_C - g \geq 0$  and  $s_C(x_0) - g(x_0) = 0$ , i.e.  $g \in C$  and  $g(x_0) = \sup C(x_0)$ . The inequality  $h \geq \psi_2$  means, on the other hand, that for all  $y$ ,  $g(y - x_0) \geq f(y - x_0) - \varepsilon\|y - x_0\|$ . Thus, for any  $x$ ,  $(f - g)(x) \leq \varepsilon\|x\|$ , so that  $\|f - g\| \leq \varepsilon$  and the proof is complete.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $C$  and  $A$  are weak\* closed convex subsets of  $E^*$ , that  $A$  is bounded, and that for some  $x$  in  $E$ ,  $\sup C(x) < \inf A(x)$ . Then for any*

$\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x_0$  in  $E$  which supports  $C$  such that  $\|x - x_0\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\sup C(x_0) < \inf A(x_0)$ .

**Proof.** Choose  $f$  in  $C$  such that  $f(x) > \sup C(x) - 1$ . Let

$$B = -A(= \{-g: g \in A\});$$

we can assume without loss of generality that  $0 \in A$  so that  $s_B \geq 0$ . Since  $f \in C$ ,  $f - s_C \leq 0$ , and hence  $0 \geq \phi = f - s_C - s_B$ . Furthermore,  $\phi(x) > -1 - s_B(x)$ . Since  $\phi$  is upper semicontinuous, it follows from Lemma 1 (with  $k > 0$  to be chosen later) that there exists  $x_0$  in  $E$  such that  $k\|x_0 - x\| \leq \phi(x_0) - \phi(x) \leq -\phi(x) < 1 + s_B(x)$  and  $k\|y - x_0\| > \phi(y) - \phi(x_0)$  for  $y$  in  $E$ ,  $y \neq x_0$ . Let  $\psi_1 = s_C - s_C(x_0)$  and  $\psi_2(y) = f(y - x_0) - k\|y - x_0\| - [s_B(y) - s_B(x_0)]$ ; then  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  satisfy the hypotheses to Lemma 2 and  $\psi_1(y) > \psi_2(y)$  if  $y \neq x_0$ . Thus, there exists a continuous affine functional  $h$  on  $E$  such that  $\psi_1 \geq h \geq \psi_2$ . Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have  $h = g - g(x_0)$  for some continuous linear functional  $g$  on  $E$ , and  $s_C - g \geq 0 = s_C(x_0) - g(x_0)$ , so that  $g \in C$  and  $g(x_0) = \sup C(x_0)$ . Since  $k\|x_0 - x\| \leq 1 + s_B(x)$ , for sufficiently large  $k$  we have  $\|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon$ . Finally, since  $\phi(x_0) - \phi(x) \geq k\|x_0 - x\| \geq 0$ , we have  $f(x_0) - s_C(x_0) - s_B(x_0) \geq f(x) - s_C(x) - s_B(x) = f(x) + \beta$ , where  $\beta = -s_C(x) - s_B(x) = -\sup C(x) + \inf A(x)$  is positive by hypothesis. Thus,  $\inf A(x_0) - \sup C(x_0) = -s_C(x_0) - s_B(x_0) \geq f(x - x_0) + \beta \geq \beta - \|f\| \|x - x_0\| \geq \beta - \|f\| k^{-1}[1 + s_B(x)]$ .

For sufficiently large  $k$  this is positive and the proof is complete.

We conclude with some examples which show that Theorem 1 may fail if certain of the hypotheses are weakened. For instance, it is not enough to assume merely that  $C$  is norm closed. Indeed, suppose that  $E$  is not reflexive and choose an element  $F$  in  $E^{**}$ ,  $\|F\| = 1$ , which is not in the canonical image of  $E$ . Let  $C = \{f: f \in E^*, \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } |F(f)| \leq 1/2\}$ ; clearly,  $C$  is norm closed, convex, bounded and has nonempty norm-interior. It is easily verified that the set  $A = \{f: F(f) = 1/2, \|f\| < 1\}$  is open in the norm boundary of  $C$ , but contains no weak\* support points of  $C$ . (Any functional which supports  $C$  at a point of  $A$  is necessarily a constant multiple of  $F$ , hence not weak\* continuous.)

In Theorem 3 of [1] it is shown that in any *incomplete* normed linear space  $E$  there exists a symmetric bounded closed convex set  $A$  with nonempty interior such that the support functionals of  $A$  are not dense in  $E^*$  (hence those which lie in the boundary  $B$  of the polar  $A^\circ$  of  $A$  are not dense in  $B$ ). The polar  $C = A^\circ$  of  $A$  is therefore a weak\* compact convex subset of  $E^*$ , but its weak\* support points are not dense in its norm-boundary, which shows that completeness of  $E$  is essential to Theorem 1. (It is interesting to note that we do *not* require completeness of  $E^*$  in the weak\* topology; this occurs only when  $E$  is finite dimensional.)

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Errett Bishop and R. R. Phelps, *The support functionals of a convex set*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (Convexity) (1963), 27–35.
2. A. Brøndsted and R. T. Rockafellar, *On the subdifferentiability of convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
3. V. L. Klee, *On a question of Bishop and Phelps*, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963), 95–98.
4. R. R. Phelps, *Support cones and their generalizations*, Proc. Symp. Pure. Math., Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (Convexity) (1963), 393–401.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON